comparisons.

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1 2 2

1113

106

7117

8

9

10

- A method of reviewing resource reduction decisions, said 1. 1 method comprising: 2 receiving a skill group identifier; 3 retrieving data records for a plurality of employees, wherein each data record includes the skill group 5 identifier and an evaluation; comparing the retrieved data records based upon the 7 corresponding evaluations; and 8 identifying one or more surplus employees based upon the 1...9 10 11
 - The method as described in claim 1 wherein the comparing 2. further comprises:
 - sorting the retrieved data records based on the corresponding evaluations;
 - retrieving a surplus percentage corresponding to the skill group identifier;
 - multiplying the surplus percentage by the number of retrieved data records creating a surplus number; and selecting the surplus number of employees from the lower end of the sorted data records.
 - The method as described in claim 1 further comprising: 3. 1 analyzing one of the evaluations prior to the comparing; 2 determining whether to agree with the evaluation; and 3 sending a rework request to a creator of the evaluation in 4 response to not agreeing with the evaluation. 5

6

laws; and

The method as described in claim 3 wherein the analyzing 1 4. 2 further comprises: identifying the evaluation as a low skill evaluation; and 3 4 checking whether the employee's data record includes one or more positive employment factors, wherein at least one 5 6 of the positive employment factors is selected from the group consisting of a top contributor indicator, a 7 stock option award, a significant salary increase, a 8 9 critical skill identifier, and a promotion identifier. 2 3 The method as described in claim 1 further comprising: 5. analyzing the identified surplus employees, wherein the analyzing includes: assessing the surplus employees' data records with one or more corporate surplus guidelines; 6 7 8 9 min fall min assessing each of the surplus employees' evaluations to other employee evaluations having the same skill group; and rejecting one or more of the surplus employee 10 identifications based upon one of the 11 assessments. The method as described in claim 1 further comprising: 6. 1 reviewing each of the surplus employees' data records using 2 3 one or more applicable laws; 4 determining an additional compensation amount for one or 5 more of the surplus employees based on the applicable

7		adding the additional compensation to a severance amount
8		corresponding to the surplus employees.
1	7.	The method as described in claim 1 further comprising:
2		comparing the surplus employees' data records with data
3		records corresponding to non-surplus employees;
4		creating one or more statistical analyses based on the
5		comparison, wherein the statistical analyses include
6		one or more protected employment factors;
7		assessing the statistical analyses using one or more
<u> </u> 8		applicable laws; and
9		modifying the group of identified surplus employees based
10		on the assessment.
<u> </u>	8.	An information handling system comprising:
1 2		one or more processors;
1 3		a memory accessible by the processors;
14 13		one or more nonvolatile storage devices accessible by the
5 11 6		processors;
<u> </u>		an employee resource review tool to review employee
7		reductions, the employee resource review tool
8		including:
9		means for receiving a skill group identifier;
10		means for retrieving data records for a plurality of
11		employees, wherein each data record includes the
12		skill group identifier and an evaluation;
13		means for comparing the retrieved data records based
14		upon the corresponding evaluations; and
15		means for identifying one or more surplus employees
16		based upon the comparisons.

1	9.	The information handling system as described in claim 8
2		wherein the means for comparing further comprises:
3		means for sorting the retrieved data records based on the
4		corresponding evaluations;
5		means for retrieving a surplus percentage corresponding to
6		the skill group identifier;
7		means for multiplying the surplus percentage by the number
8		of retrieved data records creating a surplus number;
9		and
0		means for selecting the surplus number of employees from
1		the lower end of the sorted data records.
1	10.	The information handling system as described in claim 8
2		further comprising:
3		means for analyzing one of the evaluations prior to the
4		comparing;
5		means for determining whether to agree with the evaluation;
6		and
7		means for sending a rework request to a creator of the
8		evaluation in response to not agreeing with the
9		evaluation.
1	11.	The information handling system as described in claim 10
2		wherein the means for analyzing further comprises:
3		means for identifying the evaluation as a low skill
4		evaluation;
5		means for checking whether the employee's data record
6		includes one or more positive employment factors,
7		wherein at least one of the positive employment

8		factors is selected from the group consisting of a top
9		contributor indicator, a stock option award, a
10		significant salary increase, a critical skill
11		identifier, and a promotion identifier.
1	12.	The information handling system as described in claim 8
2		further comprising:
3		means for analyzing the identified surplus employees,
4		wherein the analyzing includes:
5		means for assessing the surplus employees' data records
<u>.</u> 6		with one or more corporate surplus guidelines;
7 = 8 = 9		means for assessing each of the surplus employees'
8		evaluations to other employee evaluations having the
9		same skill group; and
10		means for rejecting one or more of the surplus employee
11		identifications based upon one of the assessments.
1 2	13.	The information handling system as described in claim 8
2		further comprising:
3		means for comparing the surplus employees' data records
4		with data records corresponding to non-surplus
5		employees;
6		means for creating one or more statistical analyses based
7		on the comparison, wherein the statistical analyses
8		include one or more protected employment factors;
9		means for assessing the statistical analyses using one or
10		more applicable laws; and
11		means for modifying the group of identified surplus
12		employees based on the assessment.

1	14.	A computer program product stored in a computer operable
2		media for reviewing resource reduction decisions, said
3		computer program product comprising:
4		means for receiving a skill group identifier;
5		means for retrieving data records for a plurality of
6		employees, wherein each data record includes the skill
7		group identifier and an evaluation;
8		means for comparing the retrieved data records based upon
9		the corresponding evaluations; and
10		means for identifying one or more surplus employees based
] 1		upon the comparisons.
1	15.	The computer program product as described in claim 14
1 -2	15.	wherein the means for comparing further comprises:
7		means for sorting the retrieved data records based on the
3 4		
		corresponding evaluations;
_5 _6		means for retrieving a surplus percentage corresponding to
		the skill group identifier;
7		means for multiplying the surplus percentage by the number
8		of retrieved data records creating a surplus number;
9		and
10		means for selecting the surplus number of employees from
11		the lower end of the sorted data records.
1	16.	The computer program product as described in claim 14
2		further comprising:
3		means for analyzing one of the evaluations prior to the
4		comparing;

5		means for determining whether to agree with the evaluation;
6		and
7		means for sending a rework request to a creator of the
8		evaluation in response to not agreeing with the
9		evaluation.
1	17.	The computer program product as described in claim 16
2		wherein the means for analyzing further comprises:
3		means for identifying the evaluation as a low skill
4		evaluation; and
<u>1-1</u> 5		means for checking whether the employee's data record
<u> </u>		includes one or more positive employment factors,
7		wherein at least one of the positive employment
.		factors is selected from the group consisting of a top
7 8 14 9		contributor indicator, a stock option award, a
		significant salary increase, a critical skill
10 111 111		identifier, and a promotion identifier.
1	18.	The computer program product as described in claim 14
11 2		further comprising:
3		means for analyzing the identified surplus employees,
4		wherein the analyzing includes:
5		means for assessing the surplus employees' data records
6		with one or more corporate surplus guidelines;
7		means for assessing each of the surplus employees'
8		evaluations to other employee evaluations having the
9		same skill group; and
10		means for rejecting one or more of the surplus employee
11		identifications based upon one of the assessments.

1	19.	The computer program product as described in claim 14
2		further comprising:
3		means for reviewing each of the surplus employees' data
4		records using one or more applicable laws;
5		means for determining an additional compensation amount for
6		one or more of the surplus employees based on the
7		applicable laws; and
8		means for adding the additional compensation to a severance
9		amount corresponding to the surplus employees.
1	20.	The computer program product as described in claim 14
2		further comprising:
3		means for comparing the surplus employees' data records
14 4		with data records corresponding to non-surplus
5		employees;
6		means for creating one or more statistical analyses based
117		on the comparison, wherein the statistical analyses
8		include one or more protected employment factors;
Ĩ 9		means for assessing the statistical analyses using one or
10		more applicable laws; and
11		means for modifying the group of identified surplus
12		employees based on the assessment.
13		